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Abstract

As the COVID-19 outbreak, hate speech on social media towards Chinese and other Asian groups has encouraged "Sinophobia". To capture the situation of hate speech on Twitter, we hydrated the tweets discussing COVID-19, written in English language and have location within the USA. These tweets hydrated from Twitter API in span of 5 months (153 days). We have obtained 543,943 tweets in which we identify 40,579 Hate Speech occurrences. We categorized and analyzed them according to Pysentimiento model which is based on BERT models and, Latent Dirichlet Allocation Model (LDA). The results indicate that there are substantial associations between the increased amount of hate speech and the increased rate of deaths due to COVID-19, increased rate of new COVID-19 cases, and negative tests rate.
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1. Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has dramatically changed the world. It resulted to extreme fear, frustration, and stress for many people as the world deals with this invisible enemy. Many lockdowns and social distancing orders were enforced to minimize the spread of the pandemic. This abrupt changes affected people’s lives both economically and psychologically. Having the fact that the first COVID-19 cases were discovered in Wuhan city, Hubei, China, resulted in an increasing number of Sinophobia (nyt, 2021). Fan et al. (2021) mentioned that the COVID-19 pandemic has unfolded hate speech on social media about China and Chinese people. Besides, Mehta (2021) noted that Twitter has noticed 900% increase in hate speech towards Chinese people.

In this empirical research, our goal is to spot lights on the main contributions of COVID-19 effecting the increased rate of hate speech towards Chinese on Twitter within the United States. so the main objective of the research is to address the research questions, “what are the most important factors that affect hate speech related Tweets?” and “what are the main topics of hate speech Tweets?” Through the literature research, we hypothesized that the increased number of new COVID-19 deaths and new positive cases are the key factors that affect hate speech tweets. In addition, they also hypothesized that the main topics of hate speeches on Twitter are focused on preventive and pandemic issues. In this case, the independent variables are COVID-19 new positive and negative cases, deaths, tests, hospitalization and Trump’s tweets while the dependent variable is the rate of hate speech-related content on Twitter in the United States.

2. Related work

2.1. The effect of COVID-19 pandemic on negative sentiments

A subgroup of the growing body of literature in studying the factors of COVID-19 contributing to the negative sentiment of people. According to (Dai et al., 2021) one of the probable contributors is the intolerance of uncertainty. The COVID-19 pandemic is an extremely stressful thing even in modern day history, it has brought great uncertainties which promoted negative reactions from many people, as they continuously seek normalcy. Similarly, (Herbert et al., 2021) reported that people constantly expressed their fear of losing their lives due to the pandemic. Since no one has a concrete answer to most of their questions, it results to negative sentiments. To make it worse, some of these negative sentiments are targeted towards a ethnicity. This worsened xenophobia across the globe. In social media a study by Tariq Soomro et al. (2020) analyzed over 18 million COVID-19 related tweets to study the effects of COVID-19 number of cases on the public sentiment. According to their study, there exists a positive correlation between the negative sentiment and the strikes of COVID-19 cases.

2.2. Increase in hate speech due to COVID-19

There has been an significant increase in hate speeches due to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. In a study, (Gray and Hansen, 2021) concluded that the pandemic has increased the criminal rate against Chinese people in London. Similarly, the Watch (2020) claimed that pandemic made Asians as “human punching bag” as people get attacked and harassed on streets and on social media platforms. At the same time, on Twitter, there is an alarming increase in hate tweets targeting at Asians, which turned into physical attacks later. Fan et al. (2021) reported that they collected 3,457,402 key tweets about China and to COVID-19 in which about 40% of the them are from the U.S and 25,467 tweets contains hate speech content.

2.3. Trump sentiment effects on people’s attitude towards Chinese
Former President Trump was criticized for calling the COVID-19 virus as “Chinese Virus.” According to Reja (2021), this remark from Trump helped the rise of anti-Asian twitter content. This is not the first time Trump had a war of words with China (Hu, 2018). Trump made use of the pandemic to invoke the envy of Chinese, which unfortunately misleadingly convinced a group of people that the virus is caused and spread by Chinese. Trump’s constant tirades against China and the Chinese people fueled the already worsening xenophobia in America. A study by Dhanani and Franz (2020) analyzed an online survey collected from 1141 US residents showed that the participants being more supportive on Donald Trump are more likely to have more bias toward Asian people. Therefore, the country saw an alarming increase of attacks against Asians. The hashtag StopAsianHate is in response to the unprovoked attack on Asians in the United States.

3. Dataset

First, we built the hate speech tweet dataset by scoring each COVID-19 related tweet with a hate score in span of 5 months (153) days. Then we perform factor analysis on the factors of hate speech, set the threshold, and filter out the factors that have a stable influence on the time series distribution. Finally, we integrated machine learning models to find the importance of each factor, i.e., we get which factors can influence hate speech most.

3.1. Data Collection

We built our overall dataset with three sub-datasets. The first dataset is COVID-19 Twitter chatter dataset(Banda et al., 2021), whose data gathering started from March 11th yielding over 3.3 million tweets a day. According to our selected period of time, we collected 83,624,190 unique tweets related to COVID-19 chatter. The second dataset is COVID-19 statistic dataset from The COVID-19 Tracking Project(Group, 2021), whose data includes daily increase in new case, death, negative test, hospitalization, total test in 5 months. The third one is Trump’s Twitter posts dataset(Brendan, 2021). Twitter has permanently suspended Trump’s account (January 8th, 2021), but this site checks Twitter every 60 seconds and records every Trump tweet into a database from 2016, which consists of 5454 trump’s tweets in span of 5 months (153) days (from August 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020).

3.2. Data Processing

In general, data processing includes data selection and data cleaning. The specific techniques for each dataset we use are outlined as follows.

3.2.1. COVID-19 Twitter Chatter Dataset

In our tweet dataset consisting of 83,624,190 unique tweets, we filtered tweets whose content were not written in English and whose locations were not the United States to obtain 543,943 tweets. We input the content of each tweet into a hate speech detection model(Pérez et al., 2021). Pysentimiento is a multilingual Python toolkit for sentiment analysis and other social NLP tasks, and we use BERTpre-trained model(Nguyen et al., 2020) to initiate the parameters. After nearly 24 hours hate speech detection for all tweets, we obtained our dataset consisting of 40,579 hate speech tweets.

For 40,579 hate speech tweets, we divide them into 56 states and sort by date. We discard those states whose total number of hate speech is lower than 1000 in 5 months. 11 states and 34295 tweets are left, and they are AZ, CA, FL, GA, IL, NV, NY, OH, PA, TX and WA. 4 days are deleted from 153 days, because most of 11 states have 0 hate speech on those days.
3.2.2. COVID-19 Statistic Dataset

The factors we selected in COVID-19 statistic dataset are the new cases of COVID-19, death cases due to COVID-19, tests of COVID-19, hospitalizations of COVID-19, negative tests of COVID-19, which show us the relationship with hate speech, according to previous related works. The definition can be found in Table 1.

3.2.3. Trump’s Twitter Dataset

The factor we selected in Trump’s Twitter dataset is the sentiment scores of tweets. We filter out 5454 Trump’s tweets in 5 months and analyse every tweet with sentiment analysis model(Pérez et al., 2021), and calculated sentiment scores in positive, negative and neutral. We use pre-trained models(Nakov et al., 2019)(Nguyen et al., 2020) in Pysentimiento for sentiment analysis.

3.2.4. Data Structure

Y - Hate Speech Label We choose the data of 11 states from our hate speech dataset. We calculate the increase rate of every day’s hate speech tweets, based on the previous day’s metric.

X - Hate Speech Factors We choose the 11 states data from COVID-19 Statistic dataset. We normalize each factor and then calculate the increase rate of each factor, based on the previous day’s value. The normalization methodology aims to normalize all the data sharing the same region at different time frame, by subtracting their means and then applying the standard deviation.

All the data are made into timely and state-related tables. i.e. the data of 2020/8/3 is calculated from 2020/8/2, shown in Figure 1. Thus, we have 148 such tables.

3.3. Data Visualization

First, we can visualize all hate speech factors from 8/1/2020 to 12/31/2020 in Figure 7. Our study wants to explore the effect of factors on hate speech over a 5-months period, rather than at particular time points. Therefore, we plot the trend of factors X over 153 days, but we are unable to do so in a way that clearly identifies the value for each day. Through these graphs we can observe the trend, where the histogram shows the daily increase number and the line reveals the oscillation of daily increase rate.

For the hate factor, we similarly visualize the trend of hate speech over 5 months, as shown in Figure 3.
Table 1: Definition of Hate Speech factors (X) and label (Y)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Case</td>
<td>Daily increase in confirmed plus probable cases of COVID-19 based on the previous day's value. Daily increase in fatalities with confirmed OR probable COVID-19 case diagnosis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death Test</td>
<td>Daily increase in number of unique people with a completed PCR test that returns negative. Daily increase in number of individuals who have ever been hospitalized with COVID-19. Daily increase in test including antigen tests and viral (PCR) tests.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Test</td>
<td>Daily average sentiment score of Trump's tweets, obtained from sentiment model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization Total Test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trump's tweet</td>
<td>Daily increase in hate speech tweets.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2: Hate speech factors trend from 08/01/2020 to 12/31/2020. For COVID-19 data, the histogram shows the daily increase amount (values on left side of graph) and the line reveals the daily increase rate (values on right side of graph). For trump's tweet sentiment data, the daily average scores of all tweet sentiment scores are categorized into positive, negative and neutral, whose sum of score is 1.

Figure 3: Hate speech factors trend from 08/01/2020 to 12/31/2020. The histogram shows the daily increase number (values on left side of graph) and the line reveals the daily increase rate (values on right side of graph).

4. Methodology

We will introduce the stable factors selection, topic models and factor importance models.

\[
n(v) = \frac{4N\pi}{V} \cdot \frac{m}{2\pi kT} \cdot \frac{\beta}{\beta^2 + v^2} \cdot e^{-\frac{m\beta^2}{2\beta^2 + v^2}} \, dv
\]

4.1. Stability Factor Selection

From Figure 1, every day's data consists of 11 states' data. On cross-sectional data, by using the linear regression model, the hate speech increase rate \( Y \) and the increase rate of factors \( X \) are linearly regressed to obtain the coefficient \( \beta \) of each factor on that day. In this way, a \( \beta \) sequence can be obtained for each factor, as shown in Figure 4.
Figure 4: \( \beta \) sequences for each factor.

The regression coefficient \( \beta \) represents the influence of the factor of that day on the hate speech. When the absolute value of \( \beta \) is large, the factor of that day is considered to have a significant influence on \( Y \). We hope to find the factors that have a stable impact on \( Y \) for a long time in the past. For this reason and based on the Sharpe ratio (Sharpe, 1994), we construct a stability formula for \( \beta \):

\[
\text{stability} = \frac{E(\text{abs}(\beta_t))}{\text{std}(\beta_t)},
\]

\( t = 08/01/2020, 08/02/2020, ..., 12/31/2020 \)

We calculated the stable scores for each factor, as shown in Table 2. A larger value indicates that the factor has a more stable influence on \( Y \) over a long period of time, so we selected the factor with a largervalue. By comparing the graphs and setting the threshold value (0.5), we select the factors with stable influence on the time series and discard hospitalization factor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Stability score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>positiveIncreaseRate</td>
<td>0.522074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deathIncreaseRate</td>
<td>0.626562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negativeIncreaseRate</td>
<td>0.518176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hospitalizedIncreaseRate</td>
<td>0.400534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>totalTestResultsIncreaseRate</td>
<td>0.566007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Stability scores of COVID-19 factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>max depth</td>
<td>The maximum depth of the tree, deeper trees tend to be overfitting and shallow trees tend to be underfitting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>learning rate</td>
<td>The weight reduction factor of each weak learner also known as step, which is in the range ([0, 1]).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n estimators</td>
<td>The maximum number of weak learners, always considered with learning rate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>early stopping rounds</td>
<td>Stop training early and prevent overfitting.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Parameters description of random forest and Xgboost.

4.2. **Model**

We will talk about topic model for finding the most popular topics in hate speech and factor importance model for scoring the factors.
4.2.1. **Topic Model**

Topic Models are a type of statistical language models used for uncovering hidden structure in a collection of texts, it solves task of dimensional reduction, unsupervised learning, and tagging. Latent Dirichlet Allocation Model (Blei et al., 2003) is a generative probabilistic model that assumes each topic is a mixture over an underlying set of words, and each document is a mixture of over a set of topic probabilities. We build a LDA model with 6 topics where each topic is a combination of keywords, and each keyword contributes a certain weight to the topic.

4.2.2. **Factor Importance Model**

From the above process, we have screened out the factors with relatively stable effects. There may be a linear or non-linear relationship between these factors and hate speech. In order to measure the magnitude of the correlation, we need to score the importance of the factors. For this purpose, we adopted decision tree. It is because decision tree method not only has a good fitting effect on the linear and non-linear relationship, but also can evaluate the classification ability of the factor.

In the model selection, we adopt random forest (Liaw and Wiener, 2002) and Xgboost (Chen and Guestrin, 2016) models, both of which are ensemble methods of decision trees and have better fitting effects.

The random forest is a parallel algorithm model with low variance but low accuracy. Xgboost is a serial algorithm model with high accuracy but may lead to overfit and thus high variance. Therefore, we combine and compare the results of both scores on factor importance to obtain more credible analysis conclusions.

The main parameters of Xgboost and random forest during the training process are shown in Table 3.

The algorithm is as follows:

1. Divide the dataset into a training set and a validation set. The division ratio is 0.75 and 0.25, respectively.
2. Find optimal parameters on the training set sample by Grid Search method. The validation set is used to control whether to stop training early during training.
3. For the optimal parameters obtained in step 2, construct XgBoost and random forest on the full dataset and fit the data.
4. Obtain the importance of the factors by trained classifiers.

5. **Result and Discussion**

We will also talk about the results of topic model and factor importance model.

5.1. **Topic Model Result**

Inspired by (Shi and Chen, 2020), we need to name the topic from the keywords. For example, for topic 0, we have 10 keywords, we find that most of keywords are related to preventive measure issue, such as wear, mask, stay, home, and we name topic 0 as preventive issue topic. The same process applies to rest of them.
Table 4: Result of LDA and six topics of hate speech

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Id</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>High probability vocabulary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Preventive Issue</td>
<td>mask,country,want,home,die stay,state,government,wear,stop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Emotion Issue</td>
<td>fuck,lies,white,going,die american,virus,america,shut,ojos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Election Issue</td>
<td>vote,america,biden,save,blue tono,piel,harris,harris2020,end</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Vaccine Issue</td>
<td>vaccine,fuck,bitch,away,stop country,real,did,killing,mask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>China Issue</td>
<td>biden,china,vote,president,virus america,does,money,pandemic,right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pandemic Risk</td>
<td>risk,symptom,dead,country,america pandemic,world,president,died,virus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For every hate speech tweet, we calculate the six topic scores, and choose the topic with max topic score. We can see the distribution of six hate speech topics in Figure 5. Thus, preventive issue, pandemic risk issue and china issue are the main topics of hate speech tweets.

Figure 5: Distribution of six hate speech topics

5.2. Factor Importance Model Result

By grid search, we choose the parameters of model with the lowest mean error in the validation set, and and the optimal parameters corresponding to Xgboost and random forest are listed on Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Xgboost</th>
<th>Random forest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>max depth</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>learning rate</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n estimators</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Optimal parameters of Xgboost and random forest
The results of the random forest and Xgboost are shown in Figure 6. The results of the Xgboost model show that the increase rate of death, new cases (positive) and negative test are ranked high, indicating that these 3 factors have the greatest influence on the the increase rate of hate speech on Twitter. The results of the random forest model show that new cases (positive), death and negative test are ranked in different order, but they are still the top three factors.

Therefore, we can conclude that new cases of COVID-19, death of COVID-19 and negative test of COVID-19 have the most influence on hate speech on Twitter. This finding also suggests that the constant updating of daily new cases during the COVID-19 epidemic is a major trigger for hate speech, while the death toll continues to cause panic and the negative sample factor may be an inconvenience for people who have to go to pharmacy for testing.

6. Conclusion

This research summarize the situation of hate speech towards Chinese people on Twitter, in span of 5 months (153) days. Considering only the tweets written in English and within the USA. A total of 40,579 tweets out of 543,943 tweets obtained contains hate speech content. Subsequently, they analyzed these tweets using PySentimient model which is based on BERT models and, Latent Dirichlet Allocation Model (LDA). The results indicate that there are substantial associations between the amount of hate speech and the increased rate of deaths due to COVID-19, increase rate of new COVID-19 cases, and negative tests rate. Another finding is that the main topics of hate speech tweets are mostly related to the prevention of new cases, the risk of the pandemic as well as the hate against Chinese.

7. Future Work

For future work, we will investigate and analyze the Twitter accounts creating and sharing hate speech content, i.e. their age groups, educational and occupational background .etc. Then find the main characteristics that they share. Another direction is to study the demographic affects of the states with the highest number of hate speech content. Additionally, to extend the work to include more popular platform (e.g., Reddit) and more common languages in the US (e.g., Spanish). Therefore, we could potentially use current open source machine learning models available online that classifies the type of users based on the hatefulness of the speech as well as their time spend on posting hateful speech, and look into some specific group of people include but not limited teenagers, people who were diagnosed of COVID-19 and politicians. This could be useful when we would like to know more about the majority type of people who are active users that spreads the hate speech and how irrational do they react when there is an increase of new COVID-19 cases.
In addition, in terms of the accounts themselves, we would dive deeper into the robot accounts that would lead to a skewed data sample.
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A. Appendix

A.1 Robot Account

Increase of robot account users at hate speech tweets spike

![Figure 7: user robot score distribution at 08/08/2020](image)

Integrating one of the open source API-Rapid, that assigns the detection scores which evaluates the likelihood of the account being fake, we perform the analysis of whether intentional robot account posts to cause public confederate is one of the factors that leads to a significant increase in the numbers of hate speech at given period. Rapid API used a machine learning model that detects robot tweeter users based on the amount of posts, the amount of followers that are fake and the the frequency of the posts etc. The higher the robot score of the user id is, the more likely that this account an robot account. After making a comparing the distribution as well as the average score of the users between July and Aug which has a significant increase We noticed the higher weight of the fake account users in the population, it could lead us to a study of how it would effect analysis of the correlation between new cases and the amount of hate speech posts in this research.

Given the reasoning that Trump's posts on Twitter constitutes one of the factors that would simulates the increase of the hate speech posts, we categorize the accounts based on the scores that the model assigned and dive into the portion of potential fake accounts among all users. It shows a accompany of more fake account at the point of spike when Donald Trump makes multiple hate speech post, which leads to a future study of the political propaganda in correlated to the tweeters as a confederate.

B. Frequent words appeared in hate speech tweet
Figure 8: Frequent words appeared in hate speech tweets.